

REVIEW ARTICLE

Immunosuppression in Paediatric Renal Transplant Patients

Rajendra Bhimma

Keywords: immunosuppression, children, kidney, transplantation

Introduction:

Renal transplantation is now the optimal treatment for many children with end-stage kidney disease. Effective immunosuppression is quintessential to successful kidney transplantation. Both national and international registries report 1-year graft survival rates of over 85% and this improvement in outcome has largely been dependent on developments in immunosuppressive therapy [1].

Although protocols for kidney transplantation are similar in both adults and children, there are nonetheless distinct differences. Children develop end-stage kidney failure at a much lower frequency than adults do and the diseases that result in kidney failure are substantially different [2]. Infants and young children cannot swallow pills and they metabolize at substantially different rates from adults. As a result, they frequently require special formulations and schedules [3,4,5,6]. Children also have unique medical and surgical requirements both before and following transplantation. Current all available immunosuppressive agents cause non-specific immunosuppression and hence increase the risk of infection and certain types of malignancy (skin cancer and post-transplant-lymphoproliferative disease). The latter has a much higher incidence in children compared to adults [7]. If these issues are specifically and carefully addressed, the outcome of kidney transplantation in children can parallel or even surpass that in adults [2]

Immunosuppressive Agents Used in Kidney Transplantations in Children:

An increasing number of immunosuppressive agents are available for use in both adult and paediatric kidney transplants and these target different steps of the immunological response to an allograft. Table 1 lists the most common immunosuppressive agents used in kidney transplantation.

Currently, the majority of paediatric transplant recipients are treated with some form of induction antibody [8]. Although presently no 'universal' protocol for immunosuppression in paediatric kidney transplant exists, current trends are towards early steroid withdrawal or directed at eliminating either steroids or calcineurin inhibitors, or both [9,10]. Another approach is to use robust induction therapy with alemtuzumab (Campath®), followed by eventual monotherapy with Tacrolimus [11,12].

Thus, although presently there is no clearly defined approach to immunosuppression in kidney transplantation for children, the eventual goal is to permit long-term graft survival and minimize side effects by the use of the fewest possible chronic medications.

A. Induction Therapy

(a) Polyclonal lymphocyte depleting antibodies. The 2 polyclonal antibodies currently in use are Equine gamma globulin and anti thymocyte globulin. Equine

Table 1. Immunosuppressive agents used in solid organ transplantation

Class of agent	Agent
Corticosteroid	Prednisolone Prednisone Methyl-prednisone
Antiproliferative	Azathioprine Mycophenolate mofetil Mycophenolate sodium
Calcineurin Inhibitor	Cyclosporine Tacrolimus
TOR inhibitor	Sirolimus Everolimus
Polyclonal anti-lymphocyte antibodies	ALG ATG ALS
Monoclonal antibodies	Muromonab-CD3 Basiliximab Daclizumab

gamma globulin has to be given through a central catheter because of the sclerosing nature of the preparation. Calcineurin inhibitors are generally withheld during administration. The dose used is 15mg/kg per day. Thymoglobulin may be given through a peripheral line at a dose of 1.5-2mg/kg per day. A single centre study has shown that recipients of thymoglobulin have decreased incidence of acute rejections [13]. However, this result may be the reflection of the overall improved outcomes of kidney transplants in more recent cohorts of patients [8]. Anti-lymphocyte antibody preparations are still widely used to treat steroid resistant acute rejection episodes and are effective in 70-96% of patients [14,5,16,17]. If a second course of polyclonal antibody therapy is required in a patient, it is advisable to use a preparation obtained from a different species because of reduced efficacy resulting from the development of xeno-specific neutralizing antibodies.

(b) Monoclonal antibodies

(i) Monoclonal lymphocyte depleting antibodies

In comparison to polyclonal antibody preparations, monoclonal antibodies do not contain irrelevant proteins, are more standardised and have a single well-defined specificity. The two most widely used monoclonal lymphocyte-depleting antibodies are OKT3 and Alemtuzumab.

OKT3 is administered as a bolus injection into a peripheral vein daily for 10-14 days at a dose of 5mg per day for children >30kg and 2.5mg per day for children <30kg. Calcineurin inhibitors are withheld during the use of OKT3. Adverse effects include neurological problems [18] reactivation of viral infections such as cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus as well as 'first-

dose reaction' [19,20]. Campath has been used in multiple uncontrolled pilot trials mainly in adult renal transplant recipients. Alemtuzumab was well tolerated, but some children had acute rejection episodes. This agent has been used more extensively in paediatric small bowel transplants [21]. Presently there is no recommended paediatric dosing for children undergoing kidney transplantation.

(ii) Monoclonal nondepleting antibodies IL2-receptor antibodies

The two IL2-receptor antibodies presently used are basiliximab and daclizumab. These two high-affinity chimeric or humanized antibodies act on the inducible alpha chain of the interleukin-2 receptor (IL-2r) on the surface of the activated lymphocyte.

Basiliximab is given on day 0 and 4 post-transplant (generally 10mg for children <40kg and 20mg for those >40kg) [22]. One study showed that paediatric patients receiving basiliximab as induction therapy may have elevated cyclosporine levels and therefore would require reduced doses to avoid toxicity [23]. Induction with basiliximab in adult kidney transplant recipients has been induced to allow the successful early withdrawal of steroids [24] and even steroid avoidance albeit with a high incidence of rejection [25].

Daclizumab is generally given in a regimen of 1mg/kg intravenously on the day of transplantation and every 14 days thereafter for 5 doses [26]. Higher doses may be required for saturation of IL-2r in younger children [9].

Unlike OKT3, these antibodies do not produce a first-dose-reaction and have few side effects.

B. Maintenance Immunosuppression

(a) Corticosteroids

Corticosteroids are still widely used as an important component of most immunosuppressive regimens and are almost universally used as first line treatment for acute rejection. The North American Pediatric Renal Transplant Collaborative Study (NAPRTCS) reports shows that until recently, up to 96% of children who underwent kidney transplantation and still have a functioning graft were maintained in prednisone [8,27]. In most steroid based regimens the dosage is usually high in the immediate post-transplant period, approximately 2mg/kg/day (maximum 80mg), with a gradual reduction to approximately 0.2-0.3mg/kg/day within a 6-month to 1-year period.

Corticosteroids have a variety of anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects [28]. These include stabilization of lysosomal membranes, suppression of prostaglandin synthesis, reduction of histamine and bradykinin release and lowering of capillary permeability. Anti-inflammatory effects are mediated mainly through induced production of cytokines, including IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, ILN- beta and TNF-beta and TNF-alpha. Corticosteroids impair monocyte/macrophage function and decrease the number of circulating CD4⁺ T-cells.

The numerous mechanisms of action of corticosteroids lead to multiple side effects and toxicities. The major concern in children with respect to its long-term use in children is growth retardation. Studies have shown that doses in excess of 8.5mg/day will impair normal growth [29].

Other side effects include increased appetite with weight gain with Cushingoid facies, acne, glucose intolerance, hypertension, increased susceptibility to infection, impaired wound healing, aseptic necrosis of bone, cataracts, psychosis and peptic ulceration [30]. Sometimes there are consequences of the mineralocorticoid activity of these agents leading to fluid retention, hypokalemia and hypertension.

In view of these multiple side effects of maintenance steroid therapy, attempts are focused on early withdrawal or reduction of steroids or steroid avoidance [31]. Unfortunately the majority of these attempts have failed because of the development of acute rejection episodes [32,33,34]. Alternate day steroid therapy reduces its impact on growth inhibition and should be encouraged. IL-2r antibody has been used in steroid avoidance protocols, with low acute rejection rates and striking reduction in post-transplant complications. The Cooperative Clinical Trial in Paediatric Transplantation aimed at corticosteroid withdrawal showed acute rejection rates at 6 months to be very low. However the incidence of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease was unacceptably high. In comparison, in the control group receiving chronic low-dose corticosteroids there was no higher rate of late rejection and long-term graft survival was similar in both groups [35].

(b) Antiproliferative agents

(i) Azathioprine

This was the first immunosuppressive agent approved for organ transplantation use. Azathioprine is metabolized to 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) through reduction by glutathiamine, and then converted to 6-thiouric acid, 6-methyl-MP, and 6 thioguanine (6 TG). These compounds are incorporated into replicating DNA, halt DNA replication, and block the de novo pathway of purine synthesis by puration of thio-iosinic acid. This latter effect confers specificity of action on lymphocytes that lack a salvage pathway for purine synthesis.

For paediatric patients the dosage is 1-2mg/kg/day as a single dose. It can be used in combination with all other immunosuppressive agents except mycophenolate mofetil (MMF). The most serious side effects include skin cancers following chronic use, bone marrow suppression that is dose dependent and occasional liver impairment and cholestatic jaundice. Minor effects include hypersensitivity reactions manifesting as a rash [36].

(ii) Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF)

MMF and mycophenolate sodium (MPS) are rapidly converted in the liver to mycophenolic acid, which is the active compound. The target of mycophenolic acid is inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMDPH). This is the rate-limiting enzyme in the de-novo synthesis of guanosine nucleotides, themselves essential for DNA synthesis. The majority of cells generate guanosine nucleotides by two pathways, the IMPDH pathway, and a salvage pathway; hence blockade of the IMPDH pathway results in relatively selective blockage of lymphocyte proliferation [37].

The recommended dose for paediatric patients is 1200mg/m²/day, divided in two, three, or four doses [38]. Although therapeutic monitoring is available, current

standards in paediatric patients are not yet available to guide treatment [39,40,41,42,43,44]. MMF must not be used in combination with azathioprine.

The most common dose limiting adverse effects is diarrhoea. Other gastrointestinal side effects include nausea, vomiting and abdominal pain. Bone marrow suppression also occurs. Some clinical trials have shown an increased incidence of viral infections (CMV, herpes simplex) and candida [45].

Analysis of large databases of renal transplant recipients have shown decreased incidence of chronic allograft nephropathy with improved long-term renal graft function in patients on MMF [46,47].

(c) Calcineurin inhibitors

(i) Cyclosporine

The mechanism of inhibiting T-cell activation by calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) is well-understood [48]. After entering the cytoplasm, CNIs form complexes with their immunophilins. Cyclosporine binds to cyclophilin and Tacrolimus and Pimecrolimus bind to the 12 kDa FK 506-binding protein (FKBP-12). The CNI-immunophilin complexes inhibit calcineurin activity, and hence prevent nuclear translocation of NF-AT and cytokine gene transcription. The net result is that CNIs block the production of cytokines and as IL-2 and inhibit T cell activation and proliferation.

For induction purposes, cyclosporine is given intravenously in a dosage of 165mg/m²/day in children over 6 years of age, and 145mg/m²/day should be given as a continuous infusion over a 24-hour period starting intraoperatively. Induction therapy should be continued only for 48 hours and then converted to oral cyclosporine. The recommended starting oral dose for children less than 6 years old is 500mg/m²/day, administered in three divided doses. The doses given in children are much higher than in adults as the drug is metabolized more rapidly in children [5]. Calcium channel blockers are used concomitantly to reduce nephrotoxicity [49]. The irregular absorption and inherent nephrotoxicity of the drug makes drug monitoring and adjustment essential. In the first three months whole blood trough levels measured by high-pressure liquid chromatography should be maintained between 200-250 mcg/ml, then between 100-200 mcg/ml in patients after 3 months. More recent data suggest that measuring the level 2 hours after receiving the dose may lead to more accurate dosing, assessing the true area under the curve and avoiding toxicity [50,51,52].

Many of the side effects of CNIs are dose dependent and relate to the sites where calcineurin concentrations are highest, notably the brain and kidney [53]. Nephrotoxicity is mainly due to severe vasoconstriction of the afferent arteriole, with concomitant reduction in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate [54,55,56]. Long-term use of CNIs leads to interstitial fibrosis and obliterative arteriolar changes due to fibrosis intimal thickening in the kidneys; changes that are non-reversible [57]. Because of its renal effects, hypertension is a common side effect of CNIs [58]. The neurotoxicity of CNIs are more common with tacrolimus than cyclosporine and are exacerbated by hypomagnesaemia [59]. Neurotoxic effects include headaches, tremors, agitation, convulsion, psychosis, hallucinations, encephalopathy, and impaired conscious-

ness [60]. CNIs also have metabolic effects that include hyperglycemia, hyperkalemia, hyperuricemia, and hyperlipidemia. Hyperglycaemia is two to four times more common with Tacrolimus than cyclosporine, and may also reflect different sensitivity to the diabetogenic effects of corticosteroids [61,62]. Other side effects of CNIs include hyperplasia and hypertrichosis that are drug specific side effects of cyclosporin. Alopecia on the other hand may accompany Tacrolimus use [63].

Cyclosporin has been used in combination with all other immunosuppressive agents except tacrolimus. However, because of the potential increased risk of post transplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD), the use of a combination of a calcineurin inhibitor, rapamycin and corticosteroids should probably be avoided, particularly in high-risk children [64].

(ii) Tacrolimus

Tacrolimus is presently being increasingly used in paediatric renal transplant [65]. When compared to cyclosporin, patient and graft survival at 2 years using tacrolimus are equivalent [66]. Tacrolimus use is associated with a lower incidence of acute rejection and improved graft function. Children treated with tacrolimus had a lower incidence of rejection (9.7% vs. 18.3%) at 2 years.

Induction therapy is given as a continuous infusion using a dose of 0.1mg/kg/24 hours, with a switch to oral therapy within 2-3 days. Sometimes the drug is commenced via nasogastric tube using the oral preparation because it has very good absorption. Initial oral doses should not exceed 0.15mg/kg twice daily and should not exceed 0.1mg/kg as maintenance dose. Monitoring trough blood levels is essential because of its nephrotoxicity. Recommended trough levels are between 10-20 mcg/l in the first 3 months and therefore between 7-12 mcg/l up to 12 months and then maintained at 5-7 mcg/l.

In view of the similar mechanism of action with cyclosporine, the side-effect profile of tacrolimus is similar to that seen with cyclosporine [67]. Hypertrichosis and the dysmorphic features like gum hypertrophy seen with cyclosporine use are not seen with tacrolimus [68].

Nephrotoxicity is seen similar to cyclosporin use [69]. Neurological side effects are common and may be seen more frequently than with cyclosporine [59,70]. Tacrolimus treated patients have a higher incidence of post transplant lymphoproliferative disease and hyperglycaemia [71,72]. However, with lower doses the incidence of post transplant lymphoproliferative disease has significantly decreased [73].

Tacrolimus can be used in combination with all other immunosuppressants except cyclosporine. Combination with rapamycin and corticosteroids should be used with caution in children with a higher risk of developing post transplant lymphoproliferative disease [64].

(d) Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors

Sirolimus and everolimus are the newest immunosuppressive agents being used for kidney transplant. Both are macrocyclic lactones, with sirolimus being a naturally occurring fermentation product of the actinomycete *streptomyces hygroscopicus*, while everolimus represents a chemical modification of sirolimus to improve

absorption.

TOR is a cytosolic enzyme that regulated differentiation and proliferation of lymphocytes. Inhibition of mTOR has a profound effect on the cell signaling pathway required for cell-cycle progression and cellular proliferation. The net effect is blockade of T-cell activation by preventing progression of the cell cycle from the G1 to the S phase. The TOR inhibitors bind to the immunophilin

FKBP12 inhibits the actions of TOR [74,75,76,77,78,79]. TOR inhibitors may be particularly important in long-term immunosuppression since they stimulate T-cell apoptosis. They inhibit mesenchymal proliferation, an important factor in graft vascular disease [80,81]. mTOR also inhibits fibroblast growth factors required for tissue repair thus resulting in impaired wound healing.

Rapamycin is available as either a solid or a liquid oral preparation. Although in adults a single dose may suffice to maintain therapeutic levels, in children it has a much shorter half-life and thus necessitates twice-daily dosing [6]. Recommended therapeutic levels in children remain speculative and range from 12-25ng/ml in the early post-transplant period without calcineurin inhibitors and 4-12ng/ml with calcineurin inhibitors [6,82]. After the early post-transplant period (>3-6months), levels are maintained between 5-10ng/ml. Lower therapeutic levels are desired when used with calcineurin inhibitors because of enhanced nephrotoxicity.

Side effects of mTOR inhibitors include metabolic, haematological, dermatological effects and effects related to growth factor inhibition [83,84]. The most common side effects of rapamycin include hyperlipidaemia, thrombocytopenia, leucopenia and delayed wound healing [85]. Dermatological side effects include acne and mouth ulcers. Another side effect that is being increasingly seen is interstitial pneumonitis, which appear to be dose related and resolves with drug withdrawal [89]. Peripheral oedema, diarrhoea and lymphocele formation post renal transplant are also well recognized complications [87]

Rapamycin has been found to be effective in combination with calcineurin inhibitors [88,89,90,91] in a calcineurin-inhibitor sparing protocol [83] and in a steroid-free protocol [92].

C. Novel Immunosuppressant Agents

Several new biological agents are in various stages of development for the purposes of replacing maintenance therapy with calcineurin inhibitors and steroids [7]. Table 2 shows the list of some of these new agents and their status in transplantation.

Currently, only LEA29YT (belatacept) is in phase III trials. Whilst the co-stimulatory pathway is emerging as an important therapeutic area for immunosuppression therapy, other promising targets include interleukin-15 and adhesion molecules [3].

Costimulation signal is provided by engagement of one or more T-cell surface receptors with their specific ligands on antigen presenting cells. Signaling through the T-cell receptor alone without a costimulatory signal can lead to a prolonged state of T-cell energy [93]. Presently the only agent used in clinical trials in adult kidney transplant recipients, which blocks co-stimulat-

ion is belatacept [94]. This agent is typically administered intravenously on a once-per-month schedule. The results showed decreased incidence of acute rejection at 6 months (6-8%), improved glomerular filtration rate at 12 months (62-66ml/1.73m²/min) and decreased incidence of chronic allograft nephropathy. There were 3 episodes of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease, two of which were related to primary Epstein-Barr virus infection. Thus the concern regarding its use in children is the potentially higher risk of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease. However, this has to be balanced against its potential benefit of improving compliance since it is administered monthly, particularly in adolescents.

Table 2 Biologic agents in the transplant pipeline

Antibody	Pharma/Biotech	Status
LEA29Y	Bristol Myers	Phase III trial
Efalizumab*	Xoma-Genentech	Phase II
Alemtuzumab*	Genzyme	IS
Rituximab	Genentech	IS
mIL-5/Fc	Roche	Preclinical
Anti-IL-15	Amgen	Preclinical
Anti-CD40	Bristol Myers Chiron Novartis	Preclinical Preclinical Preclinical

IS - investigator initiated trials.

* US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved for other indications

Adapted from: Vincenti F, Hirose R. Novel Immunosuppressants. In: Fine RN, Weber SA, Olthoff KM, Kelly DA, Harmon WE, eds. *Pediatric Solid Organ Transplantation, 2nd Edition*. Massachusetts USA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. 2007: 89-94.

Conclusion

To date the majority of paediatric renal transplant recipients are treated with triple immunosuppression [95]. The increasing number of agents available has increased the number of combinations and to date there are over 60 possible reported protocols [96]. These large number of protocols bear testimony to the fact that there is no single defined approach to immunosuppression for children. The final common goal is to achieve long-term graft acceptance with the fewest possible chronic medication.

References:

- Cecka JM. The OPTN/UNOS renal transplant registry 2003. *Clin Transpl* 2003;1-12
- Harmon WE, McDonald RA, Reyes JD, Bridges ND, Sweet SC, Sommers CM, Guidinger MK. *Pediatric Transplantation, 1994-2003*. *Am J Transplant*. 2005 Apr; 5: 887-903
- Bunchman T, Navarro M, Broyer M et al. The use of mycophenolate mofetil suspension in pediatric renal allograft recipients, *Pediatr Nephrol* 2001;16:978-984

4. Hoppu K, Koskimies O, Holmberg C, Hirvisalo EL. Pharmacokinetically determined cyclosporine dosage in young children. *Pediatr Nephrol* 1991;5:1-4
5. Harmon WE, Sullivan EK. Cyclosporine dosing and its relationship to outcome in pediatric renal transplantation. *Kidney Int Suppl* 1993;43:S50-5
6. Schachter AD, Meyers KE, Spaneas LD, et al. Short sirolimus half-life in pediatric renal transplant recipients on a calcineurin inhibitor-free protocol. *Pediatr Transplant* 2004; 8:171-7.
7. Dharnidharka VR, Tejani AH, Ho PL, Harmon WE. Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder in the United States: young Caucasian males are at high risk. *Am J Transplant* 2002; 2:993-8
8. Benfield MR, McDonald RA, Bartosh S, Ho PL, Harmon W. Changing trends in pediatric transplantation:2001. Annual Report of the North American Pediatric Renal Transplant Cooperative Study. *Pediatr Transplant* 2003; 7:321-35
9. Sarwal MM, Yorgin PD, Alexander S, et al. Promising early outcomes with novel, complete steroid avoidance immunosuppression protocol in pediatric renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 2001;72:13-21
10. Harmon W, Myers K, Ingelfinger J, et al. Safety and efficacy of a calcineurin-inhibitor avoidance regimen in pediatric renal transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17:1735-45
11. Tan HP, Kaczorowski D, Basu A, et al. Steroid-free tacrolimus monotherapy after pretransplantation Thymoglobulin or Campath and laparoscopy in living donor renal transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2005; 37:4235
12. Shapiro R, Basu A, Tan H, et al. Kidney transplantation under minimal immunosuppression after pretransplant lymphoid depletion with Thymoglobulin or Campath. *J Am Coll Surg* 2005; 200:505
13. Khositseth S, Matas A, Cook ME, Gillingham KJ, Chavers BM. Thymoglobulin versus ATGAM induction therapy in pediatric kidney transplant recipients: a single-center report. *Transplantation* 2005;79:958-63
14. Mochon M, Kaiser B, Palmer JA, et al. Evaluation of OKT3 monoclonal antibody and anti-thymocyte globulin in the treatment of steroid-resistant acute allograft rejection in pediatric renal transplants. *Pediatr Nephrol* 7(1993) (3): 259-262.
15. Midtvedt K, Fauchald P, Lien B, et al. Individualised T cell monitored administration of ATG versus OKT3 in steroid-resistant kidney graft rejection. *Clin Transplant* 17(2003) (1): 69-74
16. Richardson AJ, Higgins RM, Liddington M, Murie J, Ting A and Morris PJ. Antithymocyte globulin for steroid resistant rejection in renal transplant recipients immunosuppressed with triple therapy. *Transpl Int* 2 (1989) (1): 27-32
17. Matas AJ, Tellis VA, Quinn T, et al. ALG treatment of steroid-resistant rejection in patients receiving cyclosporine. *Transplantation* 41 (1986) (5): 579-583
18. Shihab FS, Barry JM, Norman DJ. The hemodynamic effects of intraoperative injection of muromonab CD3. *Transplantation* 1993;56:356-8
19. Norman DJ, Chatenoud L, Cohen D, Goldman M, Shield CD. Consensus statement regarding OKT3-induced cytokine-release syndrome and human antimouse antibodies. *Transplant Proc* 1993;25(Suppl 1): 89-92
20. Robinson ST, Barry JM, Norman DJ. The hemodynamic effects of intraoperative injection of muromonab CD3. *Transplantation* 1993;56:356-8
21. Nishida S, Levi D, Kato T, et al. Ninety-five cases of intestinal transplantation at the University of Miami. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2002;6:233-9
22. Offner G, Broyer M, Niaudet P, et al. A multicenter, open-label, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic safety, and tolerability study of basiliximab (Simulect) in pediatric *de novo* renal transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2002;74:961-6
23. Stehlau J, Pape L, Offner G, Nashan B, Ehrich JH. Interleukin-2 receptor antibody-induced alterations of cyclosporine dose requirements in paediatric transplant recipients [Comment]. *Lancet* 2000;356:1327-8
24. Kuypers DR, Evenepoel P, Maes B, Coosemans W, Pirenne J, Vanrenterghem . The use of an anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody and mycophenolate mofetil enables the use of low-dose tacrolimus and early withdrawal of steroids in renal transplant recipients. *Clin Transplant* 17(2003) (3): 234-241.
25. Parrott N, Hammad A, Watson C, Lodge J, Andrews C. Multicenter, randomized study of the effectiveness of basiliximab in avoiding addition of steroids to cyclosporine monotherapy in renal transplant recipients, 2004, in press
26. Cianco G, Burke GW, Suzart K, et al. Daclizumab induction, tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and steroids as an immunosuppression regimen for primary kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2002;73:1100-6
27. Feld LG, Stablein D, Fivush B, Harmon WE, Tejani A. Renal transplantation in children from 1987-1996: The 1996 Annual Report of the North American Pediatric Renal Transplant Cooperative Study. *Pediatr Transplant* 1997; 1:146-62
28. Adcock IM, Ito K. Molecular mechanisms of corticosteroid actions. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 55 (2000) (3) : 256-266.
29. Potter D, Belzer FO, Rames L, Holliday MA, Kountz SL, Najarian JS. The treatment of chronic uremia in childhood. I. *Transplantation*. *Pediatrics* 1970;45:432-43
30. Baqi N, Tejani A. Maintenance immunosuppression regimens. In : Tejani AH, Fine RN, eds. *Pediatric Renal Transplantation*. New York: Wiley-Liss, 1994:221-38
31. Ingulli E, Tejani A. Steroid withdrawn after renal transplantation. In: Tejani AH, Fine RN, eds. *Pediatric Renal Transplantation*. New York: Wiley-Liss, 1994:221-38
32. Ingulli E, Sharma V, Singh A, Suthanthiran M, Tejani A. Steroid withdrawal, rejection and the mixed lymphocyte reaction in children after renal transplantation. *Kidney Int Suppl* 1993;43:S36-9.
33. Reisman L, Lieberman KV, Burrows L, Schanzer H. Follow-up of cyclosporine-treated pediatric renal allograft recipients after cessation of prednisone. *Transplantation* 1990;49:76-80
34. Hymes LC, Warshaw BL. Tacrolimus rescue therapy for children with acute renal transplant rejection. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2001;16:990-2
35. Benfield MR, Munoz R, Warshaw BL, et al. A randomized controlled double blind trial of steroid withdrawal in pediatric renal transplantation. A study of the Cooperative Clinical Trials in Pediatric Transplantation (Abstract). *Am J Transplant* 2005;5(Suppl 11):402
36. Buell JF, Gross TG, Woodle ES. Malignancy after transplantation. *Transplantation* 2005;80(Suppl):S254
37. Allison AC, Eugui EM. Purine metabolism and immunosuppressive effects of mycophenolate mofetil (MMF). *Clin Transplant* 10(1996):77-84
38. Ettenger R, Cohen A, Nast C, Moulton L, Marik J, Gales B. Mycophenolate mofetil as maintenance immunosuppression in pediatric renal transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 1997;29:340-1
39. Oellerich M, Shipkova M, Schutz E, et al. Pharmacokinetic and metabolic investigations of mycophenolic acid in pediatric patients after renal transplantation: implications for therapeutic drug monitoring. German Study Group on Mycophenolate Mofetil Therapy in Pediatric Renal Transplant Recipients. *Ther Drug Monit* 2000;22:20-6
40. Filler F, Feber J, Lepage N, Weiler G, Mai I. Universal approach to pharmacokinetic monitoring of immunosup-

- pressive agents in children. *Pediatr Transplant* 2002;6:41-8.
41. Shipkova M, Armstrong VW, Weber L, et al. Pharmacokinetics and protein adduct formation of the pharmacologically active acyl glucuronide metabolite of mycophenolic acid in pediatric renal transplant recipients. *Ther Drug Monit* 2002;24:390-9
 42. Weber LT, Schutz E, Lamesdorf T, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of total and free mycophenolic acid (MPA) and limited sampling strategy for determination of MPA-AUC in pediatric renal transplant recipients. The German Study Group on Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) Therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1999;14(Suppl 4):33-4
 43. Weber LT, Schutz E, Lamesdorf T, et al. Pharmacokinetics of mycophenolic acid (MPA) and free MPA in pediatric renal transplant patients: a multicenter study. The German Study Group on Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) Therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1999;14(Suppl 4):33-4
 44. Weber LT, Shipkova M, Armstrong VW, et al. The pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationship for total and free mycophenolic acid in pediatric renal transplant recipients: a report of the German study group on mycophenolic mofetil therapy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002;13:759-68
 45. Sollinger HW. Mycophenolates in transplantation. *Clin transplant* 18 (2004) (5): 485-492
 46. Meier-Kriesche HU, Steffen BJ, Hochberg AM, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil versus azathioprine therapy is associated with a significant protection against long-term renal allograft function deterioration. *Transplantation* 75(2003) (8): 1341-1346
 47. Ojo AO, Meier-Kriesche HU, Hanson JA, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil reduces late renal allograft loss independent of acute rejection. *Transplantation* 69(2000) (11): 2405-2409
 48. Shibasaki F, Hallin U, Uchino H. Calcineurin as a multifunctional regulator. *J Biochem (Tokyo)* 131 (2002) (1): 1-15
 49. Suthanthiran M, Haschemeyer RH, Riggio RR, et al. Excellent outcome with a calcium channel blocker-supplemented immunosuppressive regimen in cadaveric renal transplantation: a potential strategy to avoid antibody induction protocols [see comments]. *Transplantation* 1993;55:1008-13
 50. David-Neto E, Araujo LP, Feres Alves C, et al. A strategy to calculate cyclosporin A area under the time-concentration curve in pediatric renal transplantation. *Pediatr Transplant* 2002;6:313-8
 51. Belitsky P, Dunn S, Johnston A, Levy G. Impact of absorption profiling on efficacy and safety of cyclosporin therapy in transplant recipients. *Clin Pharmacokinetic* 2000;39:117-25
 52. Dunn SP. Neoral monitoring 2 hours post-dose and the pediatric transplant patient. *Pediatr Transplant* 2003;7:72
 53. Shibasaki F, Hallin U, Uchino H. Calcineurin as a multifunctional regulator. *J Biochem* 2002;1:1-15
 54. Remuzzi G, Bertani T. Renal vascular and thrombotic effects of cyclosporine. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1989; 13:261-272
 55. Shihab F. Cyclosporine nephropathy: pathophysiology and clinical impact. *Semin Nephrol* 1996;16:536-547
 56. Nankivell BJ, Chapman JR, Bonovas G, Gruenewald SM. Oral cyclosporine but not tacrolimus reduces renal transplant blood flow. *Transplantation* 2004; 77: 1457-1459
 57. de Mattos A, Olyaei A, Bennet W. Nephrotoxicity of immunosuppressive drugs: long-term consequences and challenges for the future. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;2:333-346
 58. Luke RG. Mechanism of cyclosporine-induced hypertension. *AM J Hypertens* 1991;5:468-471
 59. Eidelman BH, Abu-Elmagd K, Wilson J et al. Neurologic complications of FK 506. *Transplant Proc* 1991;23:3175-3178
 60. Scott JP, Higenbottam TW. Adverse reactions and interactions of cyclosporine. *Med Toxicol Adverse Drug Exp* 1988;3:107-127
 61. Mayer AD, Dmitrewski J, Squifflet JP, et al. Multicenter randomized trial comparing tacrolimus (FK506) and cyclosporine in the prevention of renal allograft rejection: a report of the European Tacrolimus Multicenter Renal Study Group. *Transplantation* 1997;64:436-443
 62. Mentzer RM, Jahania MS, Lasley RD. Tacrolimus as a rescue immunosuppressant after heart and lung transplantation. The U.S Multicenter FK506 Study Group. *Transplantation* 1998;65:109-113
 63. Reznik VM, Jones KL, Durham BL, Mendoza SA. Changes in facial appearance during cyclosporine treatment. *Lancet* 1987;1:1405-1407
 64. McDonald RA, McIntosh M, Stablein D, et al. Increased incidence of PTLD in pediatric renal transplant recipients enrolled in a randomized controlled trial of steroid withdrawal: a study of the CCTPT [Abstract]. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5(Suppl 11):418
 65. Seikaly M, Ho PL, Emmett L, Tejani A. The 12th Annual Report of the North American Pediatric Transplant Cooperative Study: renal transplantation from 1987 through 1998 (updated at www.naprtcs.org). *Pediatr Transplant* 2001;5:215-31
 66. Trompeter R, Filler G, Webb NJ, et al. Randomized trial of tacrolimus versus cyclosporin microemulsion in renal transplantation. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2002;17:141-149
 67. McKee M, Segev D, Wise B, et al. Initial experience with FK506 (tacrolimus) in pediatric renal transplant recipients. *J Pediatr Surg* 1997;32:688-90
 68. Neu AM, Ho PL, Fine RN, Furth SL, Fivush BA. Tacrolimus vs. cyclosporine A as a primary immunosuppression in pediatric renal transplantation: a NAPRTCS study. *Pediatr Transplant* 2003;7:217-22
 69. Shapiro R, Jordan M, Fung J, et al. Kidney transplantation under FK506 immunosuppression. *Transplant Proc* 1991;23:920-3
 70. Neu AM, Furth SL, Case BW, Wise B, Colombani PM, Fivush BA. Evaluation of neurotoxicity in pediatric renal transplant recipients treated with tacrolimus (FK506). *Clin Transpl* 1997;11:412-4
 71. Furth S, Neu A, Colombani P, Plotnick L, Turner ME, Fivush B. Diabetes as a complication of tacrolimus (FK506) in pediatric renal transplant patients. *Pediatr Nephrol* 1996;10:64-6
 72. Greenspan LC, Gitelman SE, Leung MA, Glidden DV, Mathias RS. Increased incidence in post-transplant diabetes mellitus in children: a case-control analysis. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2002;17:1-5
 73. Dharnidhara VR, Ho PL, Stablein DM, Harmon WE, Tejani AH. Mycophenolate, tacrolimus and post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder: a report of the North American Pediatric Renal Transplant Cooperative Study. *Pediatr Transplant* 2002;6:396-9
 74. Sehgal SN, Molnar-Kimber K, Ocain TD, Weichman BM. Rapamycin: a novel immunosuppressive macrolide. *Med Res Rev* 1994 ; 14 :1-22
 75. Kim HS, Raskova J, Degiannis D, Raska K Jr. Effects of cyclosporine and rapamycin in immunoglobulin production by preactivated human B cells. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1994;96:508-12
 76. Ferrareso M, Tian L, Ghobrial R, Stepkowski SM, Kahan BD. Rapamycin inhibits production of cytotoxic but not non-cytotoxic antibodies and preferentially activates T helper 2 cells that mediate long-term survival of heart allografts in rats. *J Immunol* 1994;153:3307-18

77. Aagaard-Tillery KM, Jelinek DF. Inhibition of human B lymphocyte cell cycle progression and differentiation by rapamycin. *Cell Immunol* 1994;156:493-507
78. Dumont FJ, Staruch MJ, Koprak SL, Melino MR, Sigal NH. Distinct mechanisms of suppression of murine T cell activation by the related macrolides FK-506 and rapamycin. *J Immunol* 1990; 144:251-8
79. Wood MA, Bierer BE. Rapamycin: Biological and therapeutic effects, binding by immunophilins and molecular targets of action. *Perspect Drug Disc Design* 1994; 2:163-84
80. Marx SO, Jayaraman T, Go LO, Marks AR. Rapamycin-FKBP inhibits cell cycle regulators of proliferation in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Circ Res* 1995;76:412-7
81. Cao W, Mohacsi P, Shorthouse R, Pratt R, Morris RE. Effects of rapamycin on growth factor-stimulated vascular smooth muscle cell DNA synthesis. Inhibition of basic fibroblast growth factor and platelet-derived growth factor action and antagonism of rapamycin by FK506. *Transplantation* 1995;59:390-5
82. Sindhi R, Webber S, Goyal R, Reyes J, Venkataramanan R, Shaw L. Pharmacodynamics of sirolimus in transplanted children receiving tacrolimus. *Transplant Proc* 2002;34:1960
83. Kreis H, Cisterne JM, Land W, et al. Sirolimus in association with mycophenolate mofetil induction for the prevention of acute graft rejection in renal allograft recipients. *Transplantation* 2000;69:1252-60
84. Montalbano M, Neff GW, Yamashiki N, et al. A retrospective review of liver transplant patients treated with sirolimus from a single center: an analysis of sirolimus-related complications. *Transplantation* 2004;78:264-268
85. Kahan BD, Stepkowski SM, Napoli KL, Katz SM, Knight RJ and Van Buren C. The development of sirolimus: The University of Texas-Houston experience. *Clin Transpl* 2000:145-158
86. Kahan BD, Camardo JS. Rapamycin: clinical results and future opportunities. *Transplantation* 2001;72:1181-93
87. Haydar AA, Denton M, West A, Rees J, Goldsmith DJ. Sirolimus-induced pneumonitis: three cases and a review of the literature. *Am J Transplant* 2004;4:137-139
88. El-Sabrouf R, Weiss R, Butt F, et al. Rejection-free protocol using sirolimus-tacrolimus combination for pediatric renal transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc* 2002;34:1942-3
89. Montgomery SP, Mog SR, Xu H, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of a protocol using sirolimus, tacrolimus and daclizumab in a non-human primate renal allotransplant model. *Am J Transplant* 2002;2:381-5
90. Kahan BD, Julian BA, Pescovitz MD, Vanrenterghem Y, Neylan J. Sirolimus reduces the incidence of acute rejection episodes despite lower cyclosporine doses in caucasian recipients of mismatched primary renal allografts: a phase II trial. Rapamune Study Group. *Transplantation* 1999;68:1526-32
91. Kahan BD, Podbielski J, Napoli KL, Katz SM, Meier-Kriesche HU, Van Buren CT. immunosuppressive effects and safety of a sirolimus/cyclosporine combination regimen for renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 1998;66:1040-6
92. Mital D, Podlasek W, Jensik SC. Sirolimus-based steroid free maintenance immunosuppression. *Transplant Proc* 2002;34:1709-10
93. Janeway CA, Bottomly K. Signals and signs for lymphocyte responses. *Cell* 1994;76:275-85
94. Vincenti F, Larsen C, Durrbach A, et al. Costimulation blockade with belatacept in renal transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:770
95. Simmons RL, Canafax DM, Fryd DS, et al. New immunosuppressive drug combinations for mismatched related and cadaveric renal transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 1986;18(Suppl 1):76-81
96. Harmon WE, Stablein DM, Sayegh MH. Trends in immunosuppression strategies in pediatric kidney transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2003;3(Suppl 5):285

E- Published: June 2008

From: Department Of Maternal & Child Health, Nelson R Mandela School Of Medicine, University Of Kwazulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

Address for Correspondence: Rajendra Bhimma, Department Of Maternal & Child Health, Nelson R Mandela School Of Medicine, University Of Kwazulu-Natal, Private Bag 7, Congella, 4013, Durban, South Africa. E-mail : bhimma@ukzn.ac.za
